

Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma**CMP408: Allowing consideration of a different notice period for BSUoS tariff settings**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com by **5pm on 13 September 2023**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com

Respondent details	Please enter your details	
Respondent name:	Simon Shaw	
Company name:	Good Energy	
Email address:	simon.shaw@goodenergy.co.uk	
Phone number:	Click or tap here to enter text.	
Which best describes your organisation?	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer body <input type="checkbox"/> Demand <input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Generator <input type="checkbox"/> Industry body <input type="checkbox"/> Interconnector	<input type="checkbox"/> Storage <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Supplier <input type="checkbox"/> System Operator <input type="checkbox"/> Transmission Owner <input type="checkbox"/> Virtual Lead Party <input type="checkbox"/> Other

I wish my response to be:

(Please mark the relevant box)

☒ Non-Confidential☐ Confidential

Note: A confidential response will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless agreed otherwise, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry and may therefore not influence the debate to the same extent as a non-confidential response.

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions		
2	Do you have a preferred proposed solution?	<input type="checkbox"/> Original <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Baseline <input type="checkbox"/> No preference

		<p>Good Energy supports maintaining the existing 9-month notice period arrangement.</p> <p>We believe the existing notice period arrangements are much more appropriate.</p> <p>The proposal to reduce the notice period further makes it more challenging for suppliers to price fixed contracts and will possibly result in greater risk premia as a result.</p>
3	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Click or tap here to enter text.</p>
4	Do you have any other comments?	<p>Upon reflection, we do not believe the consequential proposals, as presented under the CMP415 modification to amend the fixed price period to 12 months, provide a better solution versus the existing arrangements.</p>